

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant(s)	: Chandan Mathur	Confirmation No.	: 3240
Application No.	: 10/684,053	Group Art Unit	: 2183
Filing Date	: 2003-10-09	Examiner	: David J Huisman
Docket No.	: 1934-012-03	Customer No.	: 00996
Title	: COMPUTING MACHINE HAVING IMPROVED COMPUTING ARCHITECTURE AND RELATED SYSTEM AND METHOD		

**Mail Stop Amendment**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**REQUEST TO CONSIDER AND INITIAL A REFERENCE FILED ON APRIL 7, 2005**

The Applicants' attorney, while reviewing all the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS(s)) filed in the above application, noted that one reference: "Un Seul FPGA Dope Le Traitement D'Images" by Lecurieux-Lafayette, has not been considered and initialed by the Examiner.

The above identified reference was listed on the Form PTO-1449 which was filed on April 7, 2005 and received by the United States Patent Office on April 11, 2005.

In the Office Action mailed on October 19, 2006, the Examiner said: *"The Information disclosure statement filed on April 11, 2005, fails to fully comply with 37CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of the non-patent literature document entitled : "Un*

**37 CFR §1.8  
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system, EFS-Web, addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/Paola Kuvac/  
Paola Kuvac

\_\_\_\_\_  
April 19, 2010  
Date

*Seul FPGA Dope Le Traitement D'Images” by Lecurieux-Lafayette, which is not in English language. Consequently, this document has not been considered”.*

The Applicant's attorney in his response to the October 19, 2006 Office Action, which was filed on January 19, 2007, in the remarks' section replied: *“In the same IDS in which the Applicants' attorney submitted the non-considered reference (Un Seul FPGA Dope Le Traitement D'Images), he also submitted the English language International Search Report for PCT/US03/34557, which indicates the degree of relevance that the International Searching Authority found for this French language reference. Per MPEP § 609.04(a)(III), this ISR satisfies the requirement for a concise explanation of the relevance of the French language reference; consequently, the Examiner must consider this reference. Copies of the ISR and MPEP § 609.04(a)(III) are attached for the Examiner's convenience”.*

The Applicant's attorney encloses the reference “Un Seul FPGA Dope Le Traitement D'Images” by Lecurieux-Lafayette, in the Supplemental Information Disclosure form filed herewith, and, therefore, requests that the Examiner consider this reference along with the other references, and indicate his consideration of this reference by returning a signed or initialed copy of the IDS form

Should further payment be required to cover any fees, you are hereby authorized to charge such payment to Deposit Account No. 07-1897.

DATED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

/Bryan A. Santarelli/

Bryan A. Santarelli  
Registration No. 37,560

Customer No. 000996  
Graybeal Jackson LLP  
400 - 108<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE, Suite 700  
Bellevue, Washington 98004-5565  
Telephone: 425.455.5575  
Facsimile: 425.455.1046